

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,189	07/06/2001	Harald Seidl	P21161	2689
7055 7	590 11/16/2004		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			PHAM, HOAI V	
RESTON, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,
	~
æ	7

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A - Company	09/899,189	SEIDL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hoai v Pham	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Au	ugust 2004.					
, <b>-</b>	This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.					
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14,17-20 and 22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-13 and 17-20 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 14,22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acco						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ■ All b) ■ Some * c) ■ None of:  1. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ■						
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	, (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/22/04.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail D					

Application/Control Number: 09/899,189 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

1. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

## **Arrangement of the Specification**

- 2. As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:
  - (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
  - (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
  - (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
  - (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
  - (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
    - (1) Field of the Invention.
    - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
  - (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
  - (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
  - (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
  - (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
  - (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
  - (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Art Unit: 2814

#### **Content of Specification**

(a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.

Page 3

- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc:
  The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.
  - Or alternatively, Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix": See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.
- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
  - (1) Field of the Invention: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
  - (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."

Art Unit: 2814

(f) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.

Page 4

- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (i) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet (37 CFR 1.52(b)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual

Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).

(k) <u>Sequence Listing</u>, See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

### Claim Objections

3. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Lines 8, 12-14, and 16, "first metal electrode" and "second metal electrode" should be changed to --first electrode" and "second electrode"-- because arsenic-doped polycrystalline silicon or polysilicon-germanium is not a metal.

Lines 8-11, "providing a first electrode layer on the dielectric layer inside the trench as a second conducting capacitor plate; filling a conducting filling material into the trench and etching back the conducting filling material to the upper side of the first conducting capacitor plate;" should be changed to --providing a first electrode layer on the dielectric layer inside the trench as a second conducting capacitor plate by filling a conducting filling material into the trench and etching back the conducting filling material to the upper side of the first conducting capacitor plate-- for clarifying the scope of the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2814

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 14 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wei et al. [U.S. Pat. 6,251,722] previously applied.

With respect to claim 14, Wei et al. (figs. 3-15, cols. 3-4) discloses a method for producing a trench capacitor, for use in a semiconductor memory cell, the method comprising:

forming a trench (32) in a semiconductor substrate (31) (fig. 4, col. 3, lines 27-28);

providing a first conducting capacitor plate (33) in the trench (fig. 6);

providing a dielectric layer (351) as a capacitor dielectric on the first conducting capacitor plate (fig. 10);

providing a first electrode layer (361) on the dielectric layer (351) inside the trench as a second conducting capacitor plate by filling a conducting filling material (361) into the trench and etching back the conducting filling material to the upper side of the first conducting capacitor plate (fig. 11, col. 4, lines 4-8);

forming an isolation collar (352) in an upper region of the trench (fig. 14);

providing a second electrode layer (362) into the upper region of the trench by such that the second electrode layer is in electrical connection with the first electrode layer (fig. 15, col. 4, lines 18-21),

wherein at least one of the dielectric layer, the first conducting capacitor plate, the first electrode layer and the second electrode layer is applied by CVD method (see col. 3, lines 64-67 and col. 4, lines 4-24).

With respect to claim 22, Wei et al. discloses that the first conducting capacitor plate is entirely buried in the trench (see fig. 6).

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on August 19, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Wei does not disclose or suggest a first and a second electrodes are metal electrode layer.

Applicant's argument is not persuasive because in the Applicant's specification, pages 10-11, the first electrode (80) is made of arsenic-doped polycrystalline silicon or polysilicon-germanium and the second electrode (80') is made of polysilicon doped with arsenic. Germanium is a semiconductor material (see S. Wolf and R.N. Tauber, Silicon Processing, volume 1, as attachment).

Applicant asserts that the first and second electrodes are metal. Applicant directs the Examiner's attention to page 15, last paragraph, of the specification that discloses

Art Unit: 2814

that a metal electrode film 100 (first metal electrode) and a further metal electrode film 100' (second metal electrode) are deposited in the trench 2. More specifically, as shown in figure 4b of the present invention, a metal electrode film 100 is deposited by a ALD, ALCVD or CVD method.

Applicant's assertion is not persuasive because Applicant elected embodiment 1 of figure 1 mailed on May 28, 2002. Figure 4 is a different embodiment from figure 1.

#### Conclusion

- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 8. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoai v Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1715. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 2814

10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HOAI PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Voaylam

Page 9